

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,564	08/17/2005	Yasuhiro Saito	16169.4	6718
22913 WORKMAN N	7590. 04/06/200° NYDEGGER	7	EXAMINER	
(F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY) 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			GRANT, ALVIN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
				<u> </u>
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	04/06/2007	DAD	EB

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/532,564	SAITO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alvin J. Grant	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A	ugust 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	from consideration.	· .				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liderating of the lideration of by the lideration of the drawing (s) is obtained in the drawing (s) in the drawing (s) is obtained in the drawing (s) i	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/1/05 and 4/22/05</u>. 	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/532,564 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 7-15 in the reply filed on 1/12/07 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 7-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 15 and 16; and in claim 11 lines 15 and 16, the phrase "the thickness of the with a neutral" is confusingly worded because it is not clear as to exactly what is being removed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 7, 9 and 10, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eda et al. 6,430,965.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,564 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

Eda et al. discloses a method for manufacturing a glass substrate for an information recording medium, the manufacturing method comprising the steps of: a first washing step for washing a surface of the glass plate with an acid washing liquid, wherein a surface layer is formed on the surface of the glass substrate by the first washing step; a step for grinding at least part of the surface layer with diamond abrasion grains to remove at least part the surface layer; and a second washing step for washing the surface with a neutral alkaline liquid; the removing step includes scrubbing the surface with a scrub member in a circumferential direction of the glass plate while supplying the surface with diamond abrasion grains; the first washing step includes immersing the glass plate in a strong alkaline solution (col. 6, lines 26-45; and Examples 1-4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 8 and 11-15, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eda et al.

Eda et al. is described above. Referring to claim 8, Eda et al. does not specifically disclose a depth for grinding the surface or a thickness of the substrate. Determining the thickness of the substrate is a matter of design choice since the thickness could be determined through experimentation to ascertain what would constitute a feasible

Art Unit: 3723

Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

thickness. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have ground the surface layer for a depth of 0.5 nm or more so that the surface layer has a thickness of 3 nm or less, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Referring to claims 11-15, Eda et al. discloses the claimed invention except that Eda et al. does not disclose the pH levels of the acid and alkaline washing liquids. Discovering an optimum range could be determined through experimentation. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used washing solutions have pH 3.0 or less; 10.5 or less and 11 to 13, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art.

Conclusion

discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re-

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J. Grant whose telephone number is (571) 272-4484. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alvin J Grant Patent Examiner Art Unit 3723

Page 5

ajg 1